

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPAREMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office (1997) of the Application of

DATE MAILED: 11-23-2001

APPLICATION NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKETNO	CONFIRMATION NO
09.826,731	04-05-2001	Jerome Owen Cantor		8515
7	590 11 23 2001			
Jerome O. Cantor, MD			EXAMINER	
12-15 Estates Lane Bayside, NY 11360			MELLER, MICHAEL V	
			ARLUMI	PAPER NUMBER
			165.	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

09/826,731 CANTO	OR ET AL.					
Office Action Summary Examiner Art Uni	it					
Michael V. Meller 1651						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspo Period for Reply	ndence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be colded in the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1 704(b). Status	nsidered timely date of this communication C. § 133)					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claım(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-19 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CF	R 1.85(a).					
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by t	he Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or ((f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 	s National Stage					
14)∑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a p	provisional application)					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) Other						

Application/Control Number: 09/826,731

Art Unit: 1651

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-16, drawn to a method of treating a lung with a lysozyme,
 classified in class 424, subclass 94.61, for example.
- II. Claims 17-19, drawn to a method of administering to a patient an agent which binds to lysozyme, classified in class 435, subclass 206, for example.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not disclosed as being used together and they have different modes of operation in that one uses lysozyme and the other uses an agent which binds to lysozyme.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: the many different respiratory disorders claimed.

Application/Control Number: 09/826,731

Art Unit: 1651

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 5 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be

Application/Control Number: 09/826,731

Art Unit: 1651

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael V. Meller whose telephone number is 703-308-4230. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday: 9:00am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Wityshyn can be reached on 703-308-4743. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-0294 for regular communications and 703-308-0294 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Michael V. Meller Examiner Art Unit 1651

MVM November 15, 2001